

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/030,838	06/26/2002	Tobias Kaufhold	GK-ZEI-3149/500343.20150 4197	
26418 REED SMITH,	7590 01/09/2007 LLP	EXAMINER		
ATTN: PATENT RECORDS DEPARTMENT 599 LEXINGTON AVENUE, 29TH FLOOR			LAO, LUN YI	
	ON AVENUE, 291H F NY 10022-7650	LOOK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2629	
-	·			
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE MAIL DATE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	01/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/030,838	KAUFHOLD ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	LUN-YI LAO	2629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Oc	ctober 2006.	-			
	action is non-final.	·			
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 8-11</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 8-11</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 June 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)		•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:	atent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/030,838

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 6 and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kojima(JP- 62-21114) in view of Berry(5,835,289) and Moore(4,720,804).

As to claims 6 and 8-11, Kojima teaches an optical observation instrument (microscope) that includes at least one eyepiece (8) having an intermediate image plane (2)(see figures 1-2 and abstract) and a device(display device) being arranged in the intermediate image plane(2) for displaying information relating to the adjusted instrument parameters, the current operating state and/or the object to be observed in a visually perceptible manner (see figures 1-2 and abstract).

Kojima fails to disclose a detachable mounted eyepiece, a display device is an LED or LCD coupled to a control electronics.

Berry teaches an optical instrument having a removable mounted eyepiece with an intermediate plane(22)(see figures 1-2, 6; column 2, lines 49-68 and column 3, lines

Art Unit: 2629

1-12). It would have been obvious to have modified Kojima with the teaching of Berry, so it would be more convenience for users to change and repair the eyepiece and the intermediate plane when it get damage.

Moore teaches a self-illuminating EL display or LED display(other display) or LCD display with background illumination which is connected to control electronics(see figures 1-2, 3, 6, 11, 13-14; column 3, lines 13-20; column 7, lines 61-68; column 8, lines 1-31; column 10, lines 42-68; column 11 and column 12, lines 48-68). It would have modified Kijima as modified with the teaching of Moore, since Kojima has disclosed a display device(2) and an EL display or LED display or LCD. It would have modified Kijima as modified with the teaching of Moore, since Kojima has disclosed a display device(2) and an EL display or LED display having light weight, small volume and lower power consumption.

As to claim 8, Kojima as modified teach a plurality of control electronics are integrated in the eyepiece tube and are connected by control lines and supply lines to a central operating device and supply device of the observation instrument(see Moore's figures 1-2; 13-14; column 5, lines 19-68; column 6, lines 1-32; column 12, lines 48-68; column 13, lines 12-68 and column 14, lines 1-54).

As to claim 9, Kojima as modified teach the information of the observer is perceptible in the eyepiece outside the image filed area reserved for observation of the specimen(75)(see Moore's figures 1-10; column 5, lines 19-68; column 6, lines 1-50; column 7, lines 22-68; column 8 and column 9, lines 1-57).

Application/Control Number: 10/030,838

Art Unit: 2629

As to claims 10 and 11, Kojima as modified by Berry teaches the eyepiece being constructed to be detachably mounted on a microscope and having a shape, size and fastening means is a same manner which is same as an eyepiece not having in the device, sot that the eyepiece with the device can be exchanged with an eyepiece without the device(see Berry's figures 1-2; abstract; column 2, lines 61-68 and column 3, lines 1-12).

3. Claims 6 and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kojima(EP 62021114) in view of Brock(5,757,542) and Moore.

As to claims 6 and 8-11, Kojima teaches an optical observation instrument (microscope) that includes at least one eyepiece (8) having an intermediate image plane (2)(see figures 1-2 and abstract) and a device(display device) being arranged in the intermediate image plane(2) for displaying information relating to the adjusted instrument parameters, the current operating state and/or the object to be observed in a visually perceptible manner (see figures 1-2 and abstract).

Kojima fails to disclose a detachable mounted eyepiece, a display device is an LED or LCD coupled to a control electronics.

Brock teaches an optical instrument having a removable mounted eyepiece(see figures 1-2; column 4, lines 58-68 and column 5, lines 1-36). It would have been obvious to have modified Kojima with the teaching of Brock, so it would be more convenience for users to change and repair the eyepiece.

Moore teaches a self-illuminating EL display or LED display(other display) or LCD display with background illumination which is connected to control electronics(see

Art Unit: 2629

figures 1-2, 3, 6, 11, 13-14; column 3, lines 13-20; column 7, lines 61-68; column 8, lines 1-31; column 10, lines 42-68; column 11 and column 12, lines 48-68). It would have modified Kijima as modified with the teaching of Moore, since Kojima has disclosed a display device(2) and an EL display or LED display or LCD display having light weight, small volume and lower power consumption

As to claim 8, Kijima as modified teach a plurality of control electronics are integrated in the eyepiece tube and are connected by control lines and supply lines to a central operating device and supply device of the observation instrument(see Moore's figures 1-2; 13-14; column 5, lines 19-68; column 6, lines 1-32; column 12, lines 48-68; column 13, lines 12-68 and column 14, lines 1-54).

As to claim 9, Kijima as modified teach the information of the observer is perceptible in the eyepiece outside the image filed area reserved for observation of the specimen(75)(see Moore's figures 1-10; column 5, lines 19-68; column 6, lines 1-50; column 7, lines 22-68; column 8 and column 9, lines 1-57).

As to claims 10 and 11, Kojima as modified teach the eyepiece being constructed to be detachably mounted on a microscope and having a shape, size and fastening means is a same manner which is same as an eyepiece not having in the device, sot that the eyepiece with the device can be exchanged with an eyepiece without the device(see Brock's figures 1-2; column 4, lines 58-68 and column 5, lines 1-36).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 6 and 7-11 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Wardlaw et al(4,209,226) teach a microscope having eyepiece with a removable filter.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lun-yi Lao whose telephone number is 571-272-7671. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on 571-272-7681. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/030,838

Art Unit: 2629

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

January 3, 2007

Page 7

Lun-yi Lao

Primary Examiner